UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,350	08/22/2003	John Overton	11958-60	8364
	7590 10/08/200 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1039		PULLIAM, CHRISTYANN R		
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,350	OVERTON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christyann RF Pulliam	2165		

	Christyann RF Pulliam	2165				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origing the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period fo	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co	usideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an example of the complex of						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-6 and 13-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	F 1 0/30/00/ Paper NO(S)					
/Christian P. Chace/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165	/C. R. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2165					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the 101 rejection is withdrawn based on the statement that "computer-readable medium" is limited to statutory media.

The 112 rejection is maintained. The speciation does not describe in detail the concepts of movement of data and the association of a different identifier together. In the example provided about ddns-2.nyc.com, the unique identifier does not change even when the machine changes. It remains ddns-2.nyc.com. Further, the fact that "individual devices create namespace address necessary to retrieve information created by the individual device" does not apply. If the data is moved then if is not "created by the individual device". Therefore, the 112 rejection for claims 1, 13 and 20 is maintained.

The prior art rejection is maintained. Applicant argues for all claims that Experton fails to teach "associating, at the at least one server, the unique identifier associated with the first unique location identifier with a unique location identifier of a different data generating device in response to the movement of data". Examiner disagrees because the central list is updated when records are changed. Experton teaches the updating of the central list when records are access or changed (See Experton - col. 6, lines 11-19). Each file is also associated with a sub-address that is its current location (See e.g. Experton - col. 6, lines 39-44). Therefore, Experton teaches associating the current address of the facility and sub-address with a file. Accordingly, Claims 1, 3-6, 13-17 and 19-21 are anticipated by Experton. Claim 18 is unpatentable over Experton in view of Hamala.